arXiv:1701.02779v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 10 Jan 2017

Phononic heat transport in nanomechanical structures: steady-state and pumping

Marcone I. Sena-Junior,[1,2,3] Leandro R. F. Lima,[1] and Caio H. Lewenkopf[1]

[1] *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil*
[2] *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Brazil*
[3] *Escola Politécnica , Universidade de Pernambuco, Brazil*

(Dated: January 12, 2017)

We study the heat transport due to phonons in nanomechanical structures using a phase space representation of non-equilibrium Green's functions. This representation accounts for the atomic degrees of freedom making it particularly suited for the description of small (molecular) junctions systems. We show that for the steady state limit our formalism correctly recovers the heuristic Landauer-like heat conductance for a quantum coherent molecular system coupled to thermal reservoirs. We find general expressions for the non-stationary heat current due to an external periodic drive. In both cases we discuss the quantum thermodynamic properties of the systems. We apply our formalism to the case of a diatomic molecular junction.

PACS numbers: 65.80.-g,05.60.Gg,44.10.+i,66.70.-f

I. INTRODUCTION

Significant progress has been recently achieved on the understanding of phononic heat transfer at the molecular level[1,2]. In addition to the investigation of fundamental aspects of the problem[1,3], several authors have realized that phonons, usually regarded as an energy waste, can be manipulated and controlled to carry and process information. Exploring analogies with electrons and photons, theoretical proposals have been put forward aiming the fabrication of devices such as thermal diodes[4], thermal transistors[5,6], and thermal logic gates[7], some of them already experimentally verified[8–10]. These ideas have given rise to the emerging field of phononics[2,11].

The presence of an external time-dependent drive, such as an external force or time-varying thermal bath temperature, gives another interesting twist to the problem, making possible to explore non-equilibrium phenomena such as directed heat pumping and cooling[2,12–17].

One of the fundamental tools for the theoretical study of non-equilibrium properties of quantum systems is the non-equilibrium Green's functions (NEGF) theory[18,19]. This approach, originally developed for fermionic systems[20,21], has been nicely adapted to describe the heat transfer in small junctions systems[3,22–27]. Despite its success, the implementation of the NEGF to calculate phonon heat currents driven by a temperature difference between source and drain still has some caveats, like the need to symmetrize the heat current to eliminate a spurious imaginary component[25–27]. The relevance of NEGF for phononics calls for a deeper analysis of the formalism.

The purpose of the paper is twofold. First, we present a rigorous method for the description of quantum thermal transport properties due to phonon or atomic degrees of freedom using nonequilibrium Green's function in phase space. We show that our formal developments solve the problems of the previous works[25–27] and recover the well known Landauer-like formula for the stationary heat current in the ballistic regime[28–31]. Second, we extend the formalism to address systems under the influence of a time dependent drive. As an example, we derive general expressions for the heat current pumped by an external time-dependent periodic potential for a system coupled to two thermal reservoirs at the same temperature.

We apply our method to analyze the steady-state heat transport properties of a diatomic molecule coupled to thermal reservoirs by semi-infinite linear harmonic chains. Next, we study the heat current pumped through the system due to a time-dependent driving force and discuss its thermodynamic properties.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the phase space representation of the Green's functions on which our derivations are built. We begin Sec. III by presenting the model Hamiltonian addressed in this study. We then use the Green's function formalism to derive expressions for the thermal current due to a source-drain temperature difference and the heat current pumped by an external periodical drive of the system atomic degrees of freedom. In Sec. IV, we apply our results to the simple model of a diatomic molecular junction. Finally, we present our conclusions in Sec. V.

II. GREEN'S FUNCTIONS IN PHASE SPACE

In this the section we introduce a phase space representation of non-equilibrium Green's functions. We show that this representation is very convenient for a canonical quantization of the displacements $\vec{u} \equiv (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ and their canonical conjugated momenta $\vec{p} \equiv (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ in a $2n$-dimensional phase space.

Let us consider a quadratic Hamiltonian expressed in terms of space phase variables $(\vec{u}, \vec{p})$ representing a system of coupled oscillators. The model Hamiltonian reads

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2}\,\vec{p}^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2}\,\vec{u}^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot \hat{K}(t) \cdot \vec{u} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot \check{\mathcal{M}}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \quad (1)$$

where, for the sake of compactness, we assume that the masses are identical and have unit value. $\hat{K}(t)$ is the

force constant matrix that represents the couplings of the oscillators network. The dynamic variable $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ and the matrix $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ have the symplectic structure

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u} \\ \vec{p} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \check{\mathcal{M}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{K}(t) & \hat{0} \\ \hat{0} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{I}$ is the identity matrix.

The equation of motion for $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \check{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\zeta}} H = -\check{\mathcal{K}}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}, \tag{3a}$$

where

$$\check{\mathcal{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{0} & \hat{I} \\ -\hat{I} & \hat{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\mathcal{K}}(t) \equiv -\check{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \check{\mathcal{M}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{0} & -\hat{I} \\ \hat{K}(t) & \hat{0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3b}$$

We define the phase space correlation functions $\hat{C}(\tau, \tau')$ on the Keldysh contour[18] as

$$\check{C}(\tau, \tau') \equiv \frac{1}{\imath\hbar} \left\langle \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}}\, \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\tau) \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\tau') \right\rangle \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{C}^{(uu)} & \hat{C}^{(up)} \\ \hat{C}^{(pu)} & \hat{C}^{(pp)} \end{pmatrix} (\tau, \tau'), \tag{4}$$

where $\imath\hbar\, \hat{C}^{(\alpha\beta)} \equiv \langle \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}}\, \vec{\alpha}(\tau) \otimes \vec{\beta}(\tau') \rangle$. The correlation functions $\hat{C}^{(\alpha\beta)}(\tau, \tau')$ are a straightforward phase space generalization of standard Green's functions[18,19], as we discuss below.

As standard[18], the *greater*, *lesser*, *time-ordered*, and *anti-time-ordered* correlations functions read

$$\check{C}^{>}(t,t') = (\imath\,\hbar)^{-1} \big\langle \boldsymbol{\zeta}(t) \otimes \boldsymbol{\zeta}(t') \big\rangle, \tag{5a}$$

$$\check{C}^{<}(t,t') = \big[\check{C}^{>}(t,t')\big]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{5b}$$

$$\check{C}^{\mathbb{T}}(t,t') = \theta(t-t')\, \check{C}^{>}(t,t') + \theta(t'-t)\, \check{C}^{<}(t,t'), \tag{5c}$$

$$\check{C}^{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(t,t') = \theta(t'-t)\, \check{C}^{>}(t,t') + \theta(t-t')\, \check{C}^{<}(t,t'), \tag{5d}$$

where $(\check{C}^{\,\mathbb{T}} + \check{C}^{\,\overline{\mathbb{T}}} - \check{C}^{>} - \check{C}^{<})(t,t') = 0$.

Alternatively, the correlation functions can be represented by their *retarded* $\check{C}^{r}$, *advanced* $\check{C}^{a}$, and *Keldysh* $\check{C}^{K}$ components, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \check{C}^{r}(t,t') &= \frac{1}{2}(\,\check{C}^{\,\mathbb{T}} + \check{C}^{>} - \check{C}^{<} - \check{C}^{\,\overline{\mathbb{T}}})(t,t') \\ &= \theta(t-t')\, \left(\,\check{C}^{>} - \check{C}^{<}\,\right)(t,t'), \end{aligned} \tag{6a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{C}^{a}(t,t') &= \frac{1}{2}(\,\check{C}^{\,\mathbb{T}} - \check{C}^{>} + \check{C}^{<} - \check{C}^{\,\overline{\mathbb{T}}})(t,t') \\ &= \theta(t'-t)\, \left(\,\check{C}^{<} - \check{C}^{>}\,\right)(t,t'), \end{aligned} \tag{6b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{C}^{K}(t,t') &= \frac{1}{2}(\,\check{C}^{\,\mathbb{T}} + \check{C}^{>} + \check{C}^{<} + \check{C}^{\,\overline{\mathbb{T}}})(t,t') \\ &= \left(\,\check{C}^{>} + \check{C}^{<}\,\right)(t,t'). \end{aligned} \tag{6c}$$

Using Eqs. (3a) and (5) we obtain the equations of motion for $\check{C}^{\gtrless}(t,t')$ and $\check{C}^{\mathbb{T},\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(t,t')$, namely

$$\left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{\mathcal{K}}(t)\right) \cdot \check{C}^{\gtrless}(t,t') = 0, \tag{7a}$$

$$\left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{\mathcal{K}}(t)\right) \cdot \check{C}^{\mathbb{T},\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(t,t') = \pm\delta(t-t')\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}. \tag{7b}$$

Similarly, using Eqs. (3a) and (7), we show that $\check{C}^{\mathrm{K}}(t,t')$ and $\check{C}^{r,a}(t,t')$ satisfy

$$\left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{\mathcal{K}}(t)\right) \cdot \check{C}^{K}(t,t') = 0, \tag{8a}$$

$$\left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{\mathcal{K}}(t)\right) \cdot \check{C}^{r,a}(t,t') = \delta(t-t')\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}, \tag{8b}$$

where $\check{\mathcal{I}}$ is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix. To obtain Eq. (8a), we use the identity $\check{C}^{>}(t,t) - \check{C}^{<}(t,t) = \check{\mathcal{Q}}$, that follows from the canonical commutations relations.

To make the notation compact, we write the correlation function in a block structure as (*Keldysh space*) $\otimes$ (*symplectic space*) in its irreducible representation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathcal{C}}(t,t') &= \begin{pmatrix} \check{C}^{K} & \check{C}^{r} \\ \check{C}^{a} & \check{0} \end{pmatrix}(t,t') \\ &\equiv \sigma_1 \otimes \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') + \text{homogeneous solution}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where σ_1 is the first Pauli matrix. Note that $\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t')$ has also a symplectic structure and satisfies (by inspection) the equation of motion

$$\left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \check{\mathcal{K}}(t)\right) \cdot \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') = \delta(t-t')\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}, \tag{10}$$

with a self-adjoint equation

$$\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') \cdot \left(\check{\mathcal{I}}\,\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial t'} + \check{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{T}}(t')\right) = -\delta(t-t')\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}. \tag{11}$$

Using Eqs. (10) and (11) we obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t) &\equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}\right)\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t')\bigg|_{t=t'} \\ &= -\check{\mathcal{K}}(t) \cdot \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t) - \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t) \cdot \check{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{T}}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Performing the Keldysh rotation[19] in Eq. (9), we obtain a reducible representation of the correlation function in terms of the quantities defined in Eq. (6) as

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \check{\mathcal{C}}(t,t') \cdot \check{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{T}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \check{C}^{\mathbb{T}} & \check{C}^{<} \\ \check{C}^{>} & \check{C}^{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} \end{pmatrix}(t,t') \\ &\equiv \sigma_3 \otimes \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') + \text{homog. solution}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\check{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(I_2 + \imath\,\sigma_2) \otimes \check{\mathcal{I}}$ and σ_2 is the second matrix of Pauli.

Let us now introduce the frequency representation of the correlation functions. Assuming time translational invariance, *i.e.*, that the matrix $\check{\mathcal{K}}$ does not depend on time, one defines $\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}[\omega]$ in terms of the Fourier transform

$$\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}[\omega] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}(t-t')\, \mathrm{e}^{\imath\omega(t-t')}\, \underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-t'), \tag{14}$$

for $\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-t') = \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t')$. We study the time-dependent problem in Sec. III B.

By inserting Eq. (14) in (10) [or in (11)], we write

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}[\omega] &= \left(-\imath\,\omega\,\check{\mathcal{I}} + \check{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{-1}\cdot\check{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= -\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}\cdot\left(\imath\,\omega\,\check{\mathcal{I}} + \check{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}[\omega] \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\check{\mathcal{G}}^{(uu)}[\omega] & \check{\mathcal{G}}^{(up)}[\omega]\\ \check{\mathcal{G}}^{(pu)}[\omega] & \check{\mathcal{G}}^{(pp)}[\omega]\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\hat{G}[\omega] & \imath\,\omega\,\hat{G}[\omega]\\ -\imath\,\omega\,\hat{G}[\omega] & \hat{G}[\omega]\cdot\hat{K}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

with

$$\hat{G}[\omega] = (\omega^2\,\hat{I} - \hat{K})^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

Equation (16) has been obtained in Ref. 25 by directly taking the Fourier transform of the displacement $\{u_i\}$ and the canonically conjugate momentum operators $\{p_i\}$. We note that despite being very appealing, this straightforward procedure is problematic, since the canonical commutation relations $[u_i(t), p_j(t)]$ can not be consistently defined in the frequency domain. This problem can be circumvented[27] by performing the Fourier transform of the phase space correlation functions, as described above.

The Green's function $\hat{G}[\omega]$ can be represented as

$$\hat{G}[\omega] = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\omega}}{2\pi}\,\hat{J}(\bar{\omega})\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\bar{\omega}} - \frac{1}{\omega+\bar{\omega}}\right), \tag{18}$$

where the spectral operator $\hat{J}(\bar{\omega})$ is

$$\hat{J}(\omega) = 2\pi\sum_j \frac{1}{\omega_j}\,\delta(\omega-\omega_j)\,|j\rangle\langle j|. \tag{19}$$

Here we have used that $\hat{K}$ is a positive-semidefinite matrix[32], which satisfies $\hat{K}|j\rangle = \omega_j^2\,|j\rangle$ with $\omega_j \geqslant 0$ (recall that $\langle j|j'\rangle = \delta_{j,j'}$ and $\sum_j |j\rangle\langle j| = \hat{I}$).

The general expression (18) does not distinguish the retarded, advanced, ordered, and anti-ordered components of $\hat{G}[\omega]$. A proper representation of the components requires a regularization around the poles $\omega = \pm\bar{\omega}$ of Eq. (18), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}^{r,a}[\omega] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\omega}}{2\pi}\,\hat{J}(\bar{\omega})\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\bar{\omega}\pm\imath 0^+} - \frac{1}{\omega+\bar{\omega}\pm\imath 0^+}\right)\\ &= \left[(\omega\pm\imath 0^+)^2\,\hat{I} - \hat{K}\right]^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{20a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}^{\mathbb{T},\overline{\mathbb{T}}}[\omega] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\omega}}{2\pi}\,\hat{J}(\bar{\omega})\left(\frac{1}{\omega-\bar{\omega}\pm\imath 0^+} - \frac{1}{\omega+\bar{\omega}\mp\imath 0^+}\right)\\ &= \left[\omega^2\,\hat{I} - (\sqrt{\hat{K}}\mp\imath 0^+\,\hat{I})^2\right]^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{20b}$$

The Green's functions $\hat{G}^{r,a}(t,t')$ and $\hat{G}^{\mathbb{T},\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(t,t')$ are obtained by the inverse Fourier transform of Eqs. (20) and are consistent with Eqs. (5) and (6), as they should.

Substituting Eqs. (20a) and (16) in the inverse Fourier transform Eq. (14), we write the retarded component of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}(t-t')$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}^{r}(t-t') &= \theta(t-t')\\ &\times\begin{pmatrix}-\dfrac{\sin\left[\sqrt{\hat{K}}(t-t')\right]}{\sqrt{\hat{K}}} & \cos\left[\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,(t-t')\right]\\ -\cos\left[\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,(t-t')\right] & -\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,\sin\left[\sqrt{\hat{K}}(t-t')\right]\end{pmatrix}\\ &+ \text{ solution of homogeneous equation,}\end{aligned} \tag{21a}$$

and $\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}^{a}(t-t') = -\,\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}^{r}(t'-t)$, where $\check{\mathcal{G}}^{r,a}(0^{\pm}) = \check{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Similarly, the ordered and anti-ordered components read

$$\begin{aligned}&\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}^{\mathbb{T},\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(t-t') =\\ &\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2\imath\sqrt{\hat{K}}}\mathrm{e}^{\mp\imath\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,|t-t'|} & \pm\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t-t')\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\imath\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,|t-t'|}\\ \pm\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(t'-t)\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\imath\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,|t-t'|} & \frac{1}{2\imath}\sqrt{\hat{K}}\cdot\mathrm{e}^{\mp\imath\sqrt{\hat{K}}\,|t-t'|}\end{pmatrix}\\ &+ \text{ solution of homogeneous equation,}\end{aligned} \tag{21b}$$

which satisfy $\check{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbb{T}}(0^{\pm}) - \check{\mathcal{G}}^{\overline{\mathbb{T}}}(0^{\pm}) = \pm\check{\mathcal{Q}}$.

The Keldysh component of the correlation function is, in general, more demanding to obtain. As standard, the exception is the equilibrium case. In this limit, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem[33] relates the Keldysh component of the correlation function of a bosonic system to its retarded and advanced components as

$$\hat{G}^{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}[\omega] = \left(\hat{G}^{r}[\omega] - \hat{G}^{a}[\omega]\right)(2f(\omega)+1)\,, \tag{22}$$

where $f(\omega) = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\beta\hbar\omega}-1\right)^{-1}$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function. One can also write

$$\hat{G}^{>}_{\mathrm{eq}}[\omega] + \sigma\,\hat{G}^{<}_{\mathrm{eq}}[\omega] = \imath\,\hat{A}[\omega]\left(2f(\omega)\,\delta_{\sigma,+}+1\right), \tag{23}$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ and

$$\imath\,\hat{A}(\omega) = \hat{G}^{r}[\omega] - \hat{G}^{a}[\omega] = \frac{1}{2\imath}\left[\hat{J}(\omega) - \hat{J}(-\omega)\right]. \tag{24}$$

As a result, the equilibrium lesser and greater Green's functions are given by

$$\hat{G}^{<}_{\mathrm{eq}}[\omega] = \imath\,\hat{A}(\omega)\,f(\omega), \tag{25a}$$

$$\hat{G}^{>}_{\mathrm{eq}}[\omega] = \imath\,\hat{A}(\omega)\,\left(f(\omega)+1\right). \tag{25b}$$

III. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

In this section, we describe the heat transport properties of a molecular junction modeled by a central region C representing a nanostructure coupled by multiple leads connected to reservoirs in thermal equilibrium[25,26]. We recall that we only consider thermal transport due vibrational degrees of freedom, which is the dominant mechanism in insulator systems.

This partition scheme allows one to write the general Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) as

$$H(t) = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}(t) \ + H_C(t) + H_T(t), \tag{26}$$

where

$$H_{\alpha}(t) = H^0_{\alpha} + U_{\alpha\alpha}(t), \tag{27a}$$
$$H_C(t) = H^0_C + U_{CC}(t), \tag{27b}$$
$$H_T(t) = \sum_{\alpha} \Big[U_{C\alpha}(t) + U_{\alpha C}(t) \Big], \tag{27c}$$

correspond to the Hamiltonian of the α-lead, central region and tunneling, respectively. We define the decoupled Hamiltonian H^0_a corresponding to the a-partition as

$$H^0_a \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, \vec{p}_a^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot \vec{p}_a \ + \ \frac{1}{2}\, \vec{u}_a^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot K^0_{aa} \cdot \vec{u}_a \tag{28a}$$

and the coupling Hamiltonian $U_{ab}(t)$ between a and b-partitions as

$$U_{ab}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, \vec{u}_a^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot V_{ab}(t) \cdot \vec{u}_b. \tag{28b}$$

The force constant matrix in Eq. (1) is decomposed as $\hat{K}(t) = \hat{K}^0 + \hat{V}(t)$, where $\hat{K}^0$ gives the dynamical matrix of the decoupled partitions

$$\hat{K}^0 = \left[\bigoplus_{\alpha} K^0_{\alpha} \right] \oplus K^0_C, \tag{29}$$

and $\hat{V}(t)$ corresponds to the coupling between different partitions, namely

$$\hat{V}(t) = \left[\bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\alpha\alpha}(t) \right] \oplus V_{CC}(t) \ \ + \ \ \hat{V}_{\mathrm{mixed}}(t). \tag{30}$$

These definitions allow us to write the tunneling Hamiltonian $H_T(t)$ as

$$H_T(t) = \frac{1}{2}\, \vec{u}^{\,\mathrm{T}} \cdot \hat{V}_{\mathrm{mixed}}(t) \cdot \vec{u}, \tag{31}$$

where $\vec{u} \equiv [\bigoplus_{\alpha} \vec{u}_{\alpha}] \oplus \vec{u}_C$. Note that $\hat{V} = \hat{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and therefore $V_{\alpha C} = V^{\mathrm{T}}_{C\alpha}$ for all α terminals.

The model Hamiltonian in Eq. (26) includes V_{aa} ($a = \alpha, C$) terms that have not been explicitly accounted for by previous works[25–27]. Neglecting V_{aa} can be problematic for the adiabatic switch-on picture, upon which the NEGF formalism is built (see Ref. 18). The absorption of V_{aa} into $K^0_{\alpha\alpha}$ modifies the free Green's functions making their calculation troublesome. This issue becomes clear in the formal development below as well as in the applications in Sec. IV.

To discuss the thermodynamic properties of the system it is convenient to describe the molecular junction as formed by reservoirs coupled to an extended central region, which we refer to as "molecule". Accordingly, we write Eq. (26) as

$$H(t) = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}(t) + H_M(t), \tag{32}$$

where the molecule Hamiltonian reads

$$H_M(t) \equiv H_C(t) + H_T(t). \tag{33}$$

The energy of the extended molecule is defined as $E_M(t) \equiv \langle H_M(t) \rangle$, namely

$$E_M(t) = \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\, \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{ C^{<(pp)}_{CC}(t,t) + K_{CC}(t) \cdot C^{<(uu)}_{CC}(t,t) + \sum_{\alpha} \Big[V_{C\alpha}(t) \cdot C^{<(uu)}_{\alpha C}(t,t) \ + C^{<(uu)}_{C\alpha}(t,t) \cdot V_{\alpha C}(t) \Big] \Big\}, \tag{34}$$

where the components of lesser functions are explicit given by

$$\imath\hbar \left[C^{<(pp)}_{ab}(t,t') \right]_{k,k'} = \left\langle \, [\vec{p}_b(t')]_{k'} \ [\vec{p}_a(t)]_k \, \right\rangle; \tag{35a}$$
$$\imath\hbar \left[C^{<(uu)}_{ab}(t,t') \right]_{n,n'} = \left\langle \, [\vec{u}_b(t')]_{n'} \ [\vec{u}_a(t)]_n \, \right\rangle; \tag{35b}$$
$$\imath\hbar \left[C^{<(up)}_{ab}(t,t') \right]_{n,k} = \left\langle \, [\vec{p}_b(t')]_{k} \ [\vec{u}_a(t)]_n \, \right\rangle; \tag{35c}$$
$$\imath\hbar \left[C^{<(pu)}_{ab}(t,t') \right]_{k,n} = \left\langle \, [\vec{u}_b(t')]_{n} \ [\vec{p}_a(t)]_k \, \right\rangle. \tag{35d}$$

with $a, b = \{C, \alpha\}$, in line with Eq. (4).

One can define the thermal current flowing through an open molecule connected to multiple reservoirs by comparing its energy variation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_M(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}H_M(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \right\rangle = \frac{\imath}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha} \langle [H, H_M] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial H_M(t)}{\partial t} \right\rangle \tag{36}$$

with the energy continuity equation, expressed as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_M(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(t) + \Phi(t), \tag{37}$$

where one associates $J_\alpha(t)$ to the thermal current from α-reservoir into the molecule and $\Phi(t)$ is power developed by the ac sources (or drives) in the molecule. Hence, by inspection one infers that

$$J_{\alpha}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H, H_{\alpha}] \rangle \tag{38}$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \left\langle \frac{\partial H_M(t)}{\partial t} \right\rangle. \tag{39}$$

After some algebra, we write the thermal current from α-reservoir into the molecule in terms of the correlation functions as

$$J_{\alpha}(t) = \mathrm{Re} \left[\mathrm{Tr} \left\{ V_{C\alpha}(t) \cdot \imath\hbar\, C^{<(pu)}_{\alpha C}(t,t) \right\} \right], \tag{40}$$

while the power developed by the external time-dependent drives reads

$$\Phi(t) = \mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\,\dot{V}_{CC}(t)\cdot \imath\hbar\, C^{<(uu)}_{CC}(t,t) + \sum_\alpha \dot{V}_{C\alpha}(t)\cdot \imath\hbar\, C^{<(uu)}_{\alpha C}(t,t)\right\}\right]. \quad (41)$$

The explicitly symmetric tunneling Hamiltonian $H_T(t)$, Eq. (27c), leads to an expression for the heat current $J_\alpha(t)$ with terms depending on both $V_{C\alpha}$ and $V_{\alpha C}$. This ensures that $J_\alpha(t)$ accounts for processes corresponding to the heat flow from the central region C to the α-lead as well as from α to C. This combination leads to a heat current $J_\alpha(t)$ that is manifestly real, since one term is the complex conjugate of the other. Our result differs from the heat current derived by Wang and collaborators[25–27]. These authors derive the heat current using the Hamiltonian without taking into account processes associated to V_{LC} (corresponding to $\alpha = L$). The obtained expression for heat current depends only on the hybrid Green's function $G^<_{CL}$. As a consequence, the resulting $J_\alpha(t)$ is in general a complex function. Furthermore, the absence of $V_{\alpha C}$ (or $V_{C\alpha}$) in their Hamiltonian implies that the self-energy $\Sigma_L = V_{CL}\cdot g_L\cdot V_{LC}$ vanishes, introducing an inconsistency within their formalism. In the case of a stationary heat flow in a two-terminal geometry (where $J_L = -J_R$), the problem of a complex heat current is circumvented[25–27] by imposing that $J = (J_L + J_L^* - J_R - J_R^*)/4$. This *ad hoc* symmetrization gives the well known Caroli formula for the transmission. However, for time-dependent systems, where in general $J_R(t) \neq -J_L(t)$, the symmetrization cannot be used and the formalism gives a heat current with an imaginary component.

As we show in the following, we fix all these problems by properly taking into account the terms related to V_{CL} and V_{LC}. Our formalism directly leads to the Caroli formula for the thermal transmission in the stationary regime and to a purely real heat current in the time-dependent case.

In the following subsections we study separately the steady-state transport ($\dot{V}_{ab} = 0$) and the heat transport due to pumping by an external drive ($\dot{V}_{ab} \neq 0$) for $a, b = \{\alpha, C\}$.

A. Steady-state transport

Let us now calculate the steady-state thermal current flowing from the α-lead due to a temperature difference in the reservoirs. Here, we consider the heat current expression (38) for a time-independent coupling matrix $\hat{V}$.

Since the Hamiltonian does not explicitly depends on time, it is convenient to work in the frequency representation. The Fourier transform of $C^{<(up)}_{C\alpha}(t,t')$ is

$$C^{<(up)}_{C\alpha}(t,t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\imath\omega(t-t')}\, C^{<(up)}_{C\alpha}[\omega], \quad (42)$$

where $C^{<(up)}_{C\alpha}[\omega] = \imath\,\omega\, G^<_{C\alpha}[\omega]$. Substituting Eq. (42) into Eq. (38), we cast the steady-state heat current as

$$J^{(S)}_\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{4\pi}\, \hbar\omega\, \mathrm{Tr}\big\{V_{C\alpha}\cdot G^<_{\alpha C}[\omega] - G^<_{C\alpha}[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}\big\}. \quad (43)$$

The system Green's function $\hat{G}[\omega] = \left(\omega^2\,\hat{I} - \hat{K}\right)^{-1}$ satisfies the Dyson equation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}[\omega] &= \hat{g}[\omega] + \hat{g}[\omega]\cdot\hat{V}\cdot\hat{G}[\omega] \\ &= \hat{g}[\omega] + \hat{G}[\omega]\cdot\hat{V}\cdot\hat{g}[\omega], \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\hat{K} = \hat{K}^0 + \hat{V}$ and $\hat{g}[\omega] = \left(\omega^2\,\hat{I} - \hat{K}^0\right)^{-1}$. Note that the free Green's function $\hat{g}[\omega]$ is block diagonal in the partitions.

From Eq. (44) we obtain

$$G_{C\alpha}[\omega] = G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot V_{C\alpha}\cdot\tilde{g}_\alpha[\omega], \quad (45a)$$

$$G_{\alpha C}[\omega] = \tilde{g}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}\cdot G_{CC}[\omega], \quad (45b)$$

$$G_{CC}[\omega] = \left(\tilde{g}_C[\omega]^{-1} - \tilde{\Sigma}[\omega]\right)^{-1}, \quad (45c)$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta}[\omega] = \tilde{g}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}\cdot G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot V_{C\beta}\cdot\tilde{g}_\beta[\omega] + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\tilde{g}_\alpha[\omega], \quad (45d)$$

where, for notational convenience, we introduce an *effective embedding self-energy*

$$\tilde{\Sigma}[\omega] = \sum_\alpha \tilde{\Sigma}_\alpha[\omega] = \sum_\alpha V_{C\alpha}\cdot\tilde{g}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}, \quad (46)$$

and an *effective free Green's function*

$$\tilde{g}_a[\omega]^{-1} = g_a[\omega]^{-1} - V_{aa} \quad \text{with} \quad a = \{\alpha, C\}, \quad (47)$$

where $g_a[\omega] = (\omega^2 I_a - K^0_a)^{-1}$. For $a = \alpha$, it corresponds to Green's function in thermal equilibrium with the α-reservoir at a temperature T_α. Hence, using Eqs. (20a) and (25) we write obtain

$$g^<_\alpha[\omega] = \imath A_\alpha(\omega)\, f_\alpha(\omega), \quad (48a)$$

$$g^>_\alpha[\omega] = \imath A_\alpha(\omega)\left(1 + f_\alpha(\omega)\right), \quad (48b)$$

$$g^{r,a}_\alpha[\omega] = \left[\left(\omega \pm \imath 0^+\right)^2\, I_\alpha - K^0_\alpha\right]^{-1}, \quad (48c)$$

where $\imath A_\alpha(\omega) \equiv g^r_\alpha[\omega] - g^a_\alpha[\omega]$ is the α-*lead "free" spectral function* and $f_\alpha(\omega) = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\beta_\alpha\hbar\omega} - 1\right)^{-1}$ with $\beta_\alpha = 1/k_B T_\alpha$.

The lesser components of $G_{\alpha C}$ and $G_{C\alpha}$ are obtained by applying the Langreth rules[18,33] to Eq. (45). By inserting the result in Eq. (43), we obtain

$$J^{(S)}_\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{4\pi}\, \hbar\omega\, \mathrm{Tr}\left\{G^<_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\tilde{\Sigma}^r_\alpha[\omega] - \tilde{\Sigma}^a_\alpha[\omega]\right) - \left(G^r_{CC}[\omega] - G^a_{CC}[\omega]\right)\cdot\tilde{\Sigma}^<_\alpha[\omega]\right\}. \quad (49)$$

The self-energies are given in terms of

$$\tilde{g}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega] = \imath \tilde{A}_{\alpha}(\omega)\, f_{\alpha}(\omega), \tag{50a}$$

$$\tilde{g}^{>}_{\alpha}[\omega] = \imath\, \tilde{A}_{\alpha}(\omega)\, \big(1 + f_{\alpha}(\omega)\big), \tag{50b}$$

$$\tilde{g}^{r,a}_{\alpha}[\omega] = \Big[\big(\omega \pm \imath 0^{+}\big)^{2}\, I_{\alpha} - K_{\alpha}\Big]^{-1}, \tag{50c}$$

where $K_{\alpha} = K^{0}_{\alpha} + V_{\alpha\alpha}$ and $\imath\, \tilde{A}_{\alpha}(\omega) = \tilde{g}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega] - \tilde{g}^{a}_{\alpha}[\omega]$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega] - \tilde{\Sigma}^{a}_{\alpha}[\omega] = \imath V_{C\alpha} \cdot \tilde{A}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot V_{\alpha C} \equiv -\imath\, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega], \tag{51a}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega]$ is the α-contact line width function. Similarly,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega] = V_{C\alpha} \cdot \tilde{g}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot V_{\alpha C} = -\imath\, f_{\alpha}(\omega)\, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega]. \tag{51b}$$

By expressing the self-energies in terms of the line width functions, we write the heat current as

$$J^{(S)}_{\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{4\pi\imath}\, \hbar\omega\, \mathrm{Tr}\, \Big\{ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot \Big[G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] - f_{\alpha}(\omega) \Big(G^{r}_{CC}[\omega] - G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] \Big) \Big] \Big\}. \tag{52}$$

Applying the Langreth rules to Eq. (45c) and using Eq. (51), we obtain

$$G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] = -\sum_{\alpha} G^{r}_{CC}[\omega] \cdot \imath\, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\; f_{\alpha}(\omega), \tag{53a}$$

$$G^{r}_{CC}[\omega] - G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] = -\sum_{\alpha} G^{r}_{CC}[\omega] \cdot \imath\, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega], \tag{53b}$$

that are inserted in Eq. (52) to finally arrive at the steadstate heat current

$$J^{(S)}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \hbar\omega\, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \Big[f_{\alpha}(\omega) - f_{\beta}(\omega) \Big], \tag{54}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\, \Big\{ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}[\omega] \cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega] \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta}[\omega] \cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] \Big\}, \tag{55}$$

rigorously obtaining the Landauer heat conductance put forward in Ref. 29.

Here we stress that the proper symmetric inclusion of the tunneling terms $V_{\alpha C}$ and $V_{C\alpha}$ in our Hamiltonian, through Eq. (27), leads to the Caroli's formula for the transmission without relying on unjustified symmetrization procedures[25–27]. We also emphasize that the self energy becomes ill defined without the presence of both terms $V_{C\alpha}$ and $V_{\alpha C}$ in the Hamiltonian.

The transmission coefficient $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$ is interpreted as the probability of an energy $\hbar\omega$ to be transmitted from the reservoir α to the reservoir β and has the same structure of the Meir-Wingreen formula[21] that describes the electronic conductance of fully coherent systems of non-interacting electrons.

It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta\alpha}(\omega)$, which implies that in steady-state $J^{(S)} \equiv J^{(S)}_{L} = -J^{(S)}_{R}$. Hence, $\mathrm{d}E_{M}/\mathrm{d}t = 0$ and, as expected, the molecule energy does not change in time.

B. Pumping transport

The analysis of heat currents in time-dependent systems is far more involved for bosonic degrees of freedom than for the electronic ones. In the latter case, the Fermi energy (and the corresponding Fermi velocity) establishes a characteristic time scale for the electronic dynamics. In experiments[34] the external driving is slow with respect to the electronic dynamics, which allows to approach the problem using the adiabatic approximation[35–38]. In the bosonic case there is no internal characteristic time scale and analytical progress has to resort on the assumption that the driving force is small to employ perturbation theory.

As an example of time-dependent transport, we study the case of periodically driven system in time. We assume that the coupling between regions depends on time as $\hat{V}(t) = \hat{V} + \varepsilon\, \hat{v}(t)$, where ε is a dimensionless parameter. Defining an auxiliary matrix $\check{\mathcal{V}}(t)$ as

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}(t) = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}(t) & \hat{0} \\ \hat{0} & \hat{0} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

we can write $\check{\mathcal{K}}(t) = \check{\mathcal{K}} - \check{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \check{\mathcal{V}}(t)$ or, equivalently, $\check{\mathcal{M}}(t) = \check{\mathcal{M}} + \check{\mathcal{V}}(t)$. It follows from Eq. (10) that the Dyson's equation reads

$$\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') = \underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-t') + \int \mathrm{d}\bar{t}\, \underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-\bar{t}) \cdot \check{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{t}) \cdot \check{\mathcal{G}}(\bar{t},t'), \tag{57}$$

where $\underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-t')$ denotes the steady-state Green's function transport, given by Eqs. (14) to (17). We consider $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and treat the problem using pertubation theory. This is an alternative approach to the Floquet analysis used in Refs. 15 and 17. The Green's function deviation from steady-state, $\delta\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') \equiv \check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') - \underline{\check{\mathcal{G}}}(t-t')$, is conveniently represented by

$$\delta\check{\mathcal{G}}(t,t') = \iint \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\, \mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^{2}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega t - \omega' t')}\; \delta\check{\mathcal{G}}[\omega,\omega'], \tag{58}$$

where

$$\delta\check{\mathcal{G}}[\omega,\omega'] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \imath\,\omega' \\ -\imath\,\omega & \omega\,\omega' \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \varepsilon^{n}\, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}[\omega,\omega'], \tag{59}$$

and the set $\Big\{ \hat{\Lambda}_{n}[\omega,\omega'] \Big\}$ is defined by the recurrence relation

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{n}[\omega,\omega'] = \hat{G}[\omega] \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{2\pi}\, \hat{v}[\omega-\nu] \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_{n-1}[\nu,\omega'], \tag{60a}$$

with

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{1}[\omega,\omega'] = \hat{G}[\omega] \cdot \hat{v}[\omega-\omega'] \cdot \hat{G}[\omega'], \tag{60b}$$

where $\hat{G}[\omega] = \big(\omega^{2}\, \hat{I} - \hat{K}\big)^{-1}$ has been discussed in the previous section and

$$\hat{v}[\omega] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t\; \hat{v}(t)\; \mathrm{e}^{\imath\omega t}. \tag{61}$$

We model the coupling terms as

$$v_{\alpha C}(t) = \phi_\alpha(t)\, V_{\alpha C}, \tag{62a}$$
$$v_{C\alpha}(t) = \phi_\alpha(t)\, V_{C\alpha}, \tag{62b}$$
$$v_{\alpha\alpha}(t) = \phi_\alpha(t)\, V_{\alpha\alpha}, \tag{62c}$$
$$v_{CC}(t) = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha(t)\, V_{CC}^{(\alpha)}, \tag{62d}$$

where $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is a dimensionless function that describes the pumping time-dependence of the α-lead. For a periodic pumping, *i.e.*, $\phi_\alpha(t+\tau) = \phi_\alpha(t)$ the pumping function can be expressed by a Fourier series in harmonic form as

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2a_n^{(\alpha)} \cos(\Omega_n\, t + \varphi_n^{(\alpha)}) \;\text{ for }\; \Omega_n = n\,\frac{2\pi}{\tau}. \tag{63}$$

By construction $\langle \phi(t) \rangle_\tau = 0$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle_\tau \equiv \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t\,(\ldots)$ stands for the time average over a period. We assume that $|\phi_\alpha(t)|_{\max} = 1$.

Expanding the Dyson equation, Eq. (57), in a power series in ε, we write the energy $E_M(t)$ of the extended molecule as

$$E_M(t) = E_M^{(0)} + \varepsilon\, E_M^{(1)}(t) + \varepsilon^2\, E_M^{(2)}(t) + \cdots. \tag{64}$$

The explicitly expression for $E_M^{(n)}(t)$ are rather lengthy and are given in Appendix B. For a periodic pumping we show that $E_M^{(0)}$ does not depend on time and $E_M^{(n)}(t) = E_M^{(n)}(t+\tau)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ (see Appendix B).

We express the variation of the extended molecule energy between t and $t+\Delta t$ in the form of a first law of thermodynamics, namely, $\Delta E_M^{(\Delta t)} \equiv \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha^{(\Delta t)} + W^{(\Delta t)}$. Note that $-Q_\alpha^{(\Delta t)}$ corresponds the heat transferred from the molecule to the α-reservoir, while $W^{(\Delta t)}$ is the energy transferred to the molecule that does not come from reservoirs, namely,

$$Q_\alpha^{(\Delta t)} = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \mathrm{d}\bar{t}\; J_\alpha(\bar{t}) \quad\text{and}\quad W^{(\Delta t)} = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \mathrm{d}\bar{t}\; \Phi(\bar{t}), \tag{65}$$

where $J_\alpha(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ are, respectively, the thermal current flowing from α-reservoir into the molecule and the power developed by the ac sources.

For a periodic process after a cycle of period $\Delta t = \tau$, we finding that $\Delta E_M^{(\tau)} = 0$, so that

$$\sum_\alpha Q_\alpha^{(\tau)} + W^{(\tau)} = 0, \tag{66}$$

where we define

$$Q_\alpha^{(\tau)} = \tau\, \langle J_\alpha(t) \rangle_\tau \qquad\text{and}\qquad W^{(\tau)} = \tau\, \langle \Phi(t) \rangle_\tau. \tag{67}$$

$\langle J_\alpha(t) \rangle_\tau$ and $\langle \Phi(t) \rangle_\tau$ can be evaluated by using a perturbative expansion

$$\langle J_\alpha(t) \rangle_\tau = J_\alpha^{(S)} + \varepsilon^2\, J_\alpha^{(P)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \tag{68a}$$
$$\langle \Phi(t) \rangle_\tau = \varepsilon^2\, \Phi^{(P)} \;+\; \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \tag{68b}$$

where $J_\alpha^{(P)}$ and $\Phi^{(P)}$ are discussed in Appendix B and can be cast as

$$J_\alpha^{(P)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)} a_n^{(\gamma)} \Big[\cos\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)} - \varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\, A_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n) - \sin\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)} - \varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\, B_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n) \Big], \tag{69a}$$

$$\Phi^{(P)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)} a_n^{(\gamma)} \Big[\cos\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)} - \varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\, D_{\beta\gamma}(n) - \sin\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)} - \varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\, E_{\beta\gamma}(n) \Big], \tag{69b}$$

where the quantities $A_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n)$, $B_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n)$, $D_{\beta\gamma}(n)$, and $E_{\beta\gamma}(n)$ are explicitly given by Eq. (B14) and depend on the molecular structure.

Note that in Eq. (68) the first order contributions in ε vanish. The second order terms $J_\alpha^{(P)}$ and $\Phi^{(P)}$ depend explicitly on the periodic profile $\phi_\alpha(t)$.

IV. APPLICATION: MOLECULAR JUNCTION

We investigate the consequences of our findings using the molecular junction model presented in Sec. III. We consider a one-dimensional system where a central region with N atoms is attached to two semi-infinite linear chains acting as leads, as depicted in Fig. 1.

For the sake of clarity, we consider the simplest non trivial case of a diatomic molecule, namely, $N = 2$. The force constant between the atoms in the leads and its first neighbors is k. The force constant between the atoms in the central region is k_C while the left (right) atom connects to the left (right) lead though a coupling k_L (k_R).



FIG. 1. (Color online) Sketch of the model system. Balls represent the chain sites while springs represent the coupling potential. The central region, formed by 2 atoms A and B coupled by a spring with force constant k_C, is connected to left and right semi-infinite leads through couplings k_L and k_R, respectively. The leads have a constant coupling k.

In this model the inter-partition and central coupling reduced matrices are

$$V_{LL} = \begin{pmatrix} k_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{LC} = \begin{pmatrix} -k_L & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{LR} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$V_{CL} = \begin{pmatrix} -k_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{CC} = \begin{pmatrix} k_L & 0 \\ 0 & k_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{CR} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -k_R \end{pmatrix},$$
$$V_{RL} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{RC} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{RR} = \begin{pmatrix} k_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{70a}$$

and

$$K_{CC}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} k_C & -k_C \\ -k_C & k_C \end{pmatrix}. \tag{70b}$$

Here the matrices $V_{CC}^{(L)}$ and $V_{CC}^{(R)}$ introduced in (62d) read

$$V_{CC}^{(L)} = \begin{pmatrix} k_L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V_{CC}^{(R)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{70c}$$

and satisfy $V_{CC} = V_{CC}^{(L)} + V_{CC}^{(R)}$.

The retarded and advanced components of the modified Green's functions are

$$\tilde{g}_\alpha^{r,a}[\omega] = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{\omega^2 - 2k_\alpha \mp \imath\,\omega\sqrt{4k-\omega^2}}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2 + k_\alpha^2}, & |\omega| \leqslant \sqrt{4k} \\ \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{\omega^2 - 2k_\alpha - \sqrt{\omega^2\,(\omega^2-4k)}}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2 + k_\alpha^2}, & |\omega| > \sqrt{4k}, \end{cases} \tag{71}$$

for $\alpha = L, R$. Note that the property $\tilde{g}_\alpha^r[-\omega] = \tilde{g}_\alpha^a[\omega]$ is satisfied according to the Eq. (20a). The derivation of Eqs. (71) is presented in App. B.

A. Steady-state

Equations (70) and (71), allow us to calculate the retarded and advanced self-energies $\tilde{\Sigma}_{L(R)}^{r,a}[\omega]$ defined in Eq. (46), the level-width functions $\tilde{\Gamma}_{L(R)}[\omega]$ given by Eqs. (51a) and (A13), and the central region Green's functions $G_{CC}^{r,a}[\omega]$. The local density of states (LDOS) at the site $j = A, B$ in the central region reads

$$\mathrm{DOS}_j(\omega) = -\frac{2\omega}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\Big[G_{CC}^r[\omega]\Big]_{jj}. \tag{72}$$

The factor 2ω is present to convert the value coming directly from the imaginary part of $G_{CC}^r[\omega]$ into the DOS per unit of ω, ensuring that $\int \mathrm{DOS}(\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\omega$ equals the number of propagating channels in the system.

For the equal force constant case we can calculate the LDOS and the transmission analytically, namely

$$\mathrm{DOS}_j(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{4k-\omega^2}}\,\Theta(4k-\omega^2), \quad \forall\, j \tag{73a}$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\omega) = \Theta(4k-\omega^2). \tag{73b}$$

Figure 2 shows the DOS at one of the sites in the central region for $k_L = k_R = k_C = k$. Our formalism recovers the standard DOS for a linear chain. The singularity at $\omega = \sqrt{4k}$ agrees with the frequency in which the dispersion relation of a linear chain $\omega = \sqrt{4k}\sin(k_x a/2)$ becomes flat, *i.e.*, at the edge of the first Brillouin zone. Here k_x is the longitudinal momentum and a is the lattice parameter. Also, the transmission coefficient $\mathcal{T}(\omega)$ corresponds to a perfect transmission inside the frequency band of the leads $|\omega| < \sqrt{4k}$ and it is zero otherwise.

In the limit of small temperatures and small temperature differences, namely, $T_{L/R} = T \pm \Delta T/2$ with $\Delta T \ll T$ for $T \to 0$, the thermal current for steady-state can be written as $J_{L,R}^{(S)} = \pm\,\sigma(T)\;\Delta T$, where $\sigma(T)$ corresponds



FIG. 2. (Color online) DOS and transmission $\mathcal{T}$ as functions of the frequency ω in units of $\sqrt{k}$ for $k_L = k_C = k_R = k$.

to the thermal conductance defined by

$$\sigma(T) = \frac{2k_B^2 T}{h}\int_0^{x_c} \mathrm{d}x\,\frac{x^2}{\sinh^2 x}\,\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{\omega_c}{x_c}x\right) \xrightarrow{T\to 0} \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3\,h}\,T \tag{74}$$

where $\omega_c \equiv \sqrt{4k}$ and $x_c^{-1} \equiv 2k_B T/(\hbar\omega_c)$. The low temperature limit of $\sigma(T)$ is

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3\,h}\,T \tag{75}$$

as theoretically precdicted[28,29] and experimentally observed[39]. From Eq. (55), it possible to verify that $\mathcal{T}(0) = 1$. Thus we see that at low temperatures the conductance $\sigma(T) \sim T$ and vanishes for $T \to 0$, as required by the third law of thermodynamics.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Transmission $\mathcal{T}$ as a function of the frequency ω in units of $\sqrt{k}$ in the weak coupling regime. The values of k_L, k_R and k_C are indicated in the picture in units of k. The vertical dashed lines are the frequencies given by Eq. (76).

Let us now study situations where the force constants are different. In the weak coupling limit, $k_R, k_L \ll k, k_C$, the central region is nearly disconnected from the outside world having only one resonant level at $\omega_C = \sqrt{2k_C}$. Thus, the conductance is only expected to be significant at the vicinity of ω_C. Instead, Fig. 3 shows one peak at $\omega \approx \omega_C$ and two additional strong peaks, one at zero frequency and another intermediate peak at $0 < \omega < \omega_C$.

The first peak at $\omega = 0$ corresponds to the acoustic mode that has an infinite long wavelength so that the

short ranged "defects" introduced by $k_L, k_R, k_C \neq k$ do not affect the transport across the system. This picture is reenforced by noticing that the zero frequency peak is robust against changes in the value of k_L, k_C and k_R in the weak coupling regime, see Fig. 4.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Transmission $\mathcal{T}$ as a function of the frequency ω in units of $\sqrt{k}$ for different values of k_R (indicated in the figure) with $k_C = 0.4$ and $k_L = 0.05$. All constants are in units of k. The maximum transmission occurs when $k_L = k_R$. The vertical dashed lines are the frequencies given by Eq. (76).

By coupling the diatomic molecule to leads, the resonance level at ω_C is shifted and acquires broadening, as described by the self-energy $\tilde{\Sigma}^r[\omega]$. Hence the peak near ω_C is very sensitive to variations in k_L and k_R. These features, are illustrated in Fig. 3, by inspecting a set of transmission curves where we keep k_C constant and increase $k_L = k_R$.

On the other hand, the remaining peak at $0 < \omega < \omega_C$ depends only on the values of k_L and k_R. In the weak coupling regime, a semi-classical picture explains this additional transmission peak. The natural interfaces frequencies $\omega_\alpha \propto \sqrt{k_\alpha}$, with $\alpha = L, R$, are much smaller then ω_C. The large separation in frequencies suggest that the resonance close to ω_C is dominated by the isolated molecule mode, while the other corresponds to an oscillation of a frozen central region. The Green's functions of such a system gives resonances at the frequencies

$$\omega_{1,2} = \sqrt{k_C + \left(\frac{k_L + k_R}{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{k_L - k_R}{2}\right)^2 + k_C^2}}. \tag{76}$$

For $k_L = k_R$, $\omega_1 = \sqrt{2k_C + k_L}$ and $\omega_2 = \sqrt{k_L}$ that are plotted in Fig. 3 as vertical dotted lines matching the peaks positions. For $k_L \neq k_R$, the symmetry is broken and the maximum transmission at all the peaks, except for the one with zero frequency, is no longer perfect.

B. Pumping

For simplicity, let us analyze a pumping process between reservoirs at the same temperature. In this case, the steady-state current from the α-reservoir is $J_\alpha^{(S)} = 0$. Hence, $J_\alpha^{(P)}$ gives the leading contribution to the heat flow.

We consider the case of pumping functions with a phase difference φ, namely, $\phi_L(t) = \phi_R(t - \varphi/\Omega)$, which implies that $\varphi_n^{(L)} - \varphi_n^{(R)} = n\,\varphi$ and $a_n^{(L)} = a_n^{(R)} \equiv a_n$ for $n \geqslant 1$. According to Eq. (69), we can express the α-thermal pumped current as

$$J_\alpha^{(P)}(\Omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \Big[\mathcal{A}^\alpha_{\rm homo}(n\Omega) + \cos(n\varphi)\,\mathcal{A}^\alpha_{\rm hete}(n\Omega) - \sin(n\varphi)\;\mathcal{B}^\alpha(n\Omega)\Big], \tag{77}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^\alpha_{\rm homo}(n\Omega) \equiv A^\alpha_{LL}(n) + A^\alpha_{RR}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}^\alpha_{\rm hete}(n\Omega) \equiv A^\alpha_{LR}(n) + A^\alpha_{RL}(n)$, $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)}(n\Omega) \equiv B^\alpha_{LR}(n) - B^\alpha_{RL}(n)$ for $\alpha = L, R$. For the symmetric coupling case, *i.e.*, $k_L = k_R \neq k_C$, we can show that $\mathcal{A}^L_{\rm homo/hete}(n\Omega) = \mathcal{A}^R_{\rm homo/hete}(n\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{B}^L(n\Omega) = -\mathcal{B}^R(n\Omega)$.

Similarly, the pumped power reads

$$\Phi^{(P)}(\Omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \Big[\mathcal{D}_{\rm homo}(n\Omega) + \cos(n\varphi)\;\mathcal{D}_{\rm hete}(n\Omega) - \sin(n\varphi)\;\mathcal{E}(n\Omega)\Big], \tag{78}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\rm homo}(n\Omega) \equiv D_{LL}(n) + D_{RR}(n)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\rm hete}(n\Omega) \equiv D_{LR}(n) + D_{RL}(n)$ and $\mathcal{E}(n\Omega) \equiv E_{LR}(n) - E_{RL}(n)$ defined for $0 < n\,\Omega < 4\sqrt{k}$ and zero otherwise. For further details see Appendix B. For a symmetric setup (i.e. $k_L = k_R \neq k_C$), we can show that $\mathcal{E}(n\Omega) \equiv 0$. Note that $\Phi^{(P)}$ satisfies the condition $\Phi^{(P)} > 0$, which corresponds to performing work on the system.

The pumping function is determined by the choice of the parameter set $\{a_n\}$ and phase difference φ. We study four examples of pumping functions: *single-mode* represented by $a_n = \delta_{n,1}/2$; *square* oscillation represented by $a_n = 2/(n\pi)$ for n odd (and zero otherwise); *triangle* oscillation by $a_n = \frac{4}{n^2\pi^2}(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for n odd (and zero otherwise); *sawtooth* oscillation by $a_n = -1/(\pi n)$ for all n.

The thermal current absorbed by the α-reservoir, $-J_\alpha^{(P)} > 0$, as a function of pumping frequency Ω for different pumping profiles and phase difference is shown in Fig. 5. We find a suppression of thermal current according to the type of pumping in the following order: *square*, *single-mode*, *triangle* and *sawtooth*. The pumping peak occurs in the frequency window $2\sqrt{k} < \Omega < 3\sqrt{k}$ and a sub-peak within $0 < \Omega < \sqrt{k}$, accompanied by a weak suppression in the domain $\sqrt{k} < \Omega < 2\sqrt{k}$ and the strong suppression for $\Omega \gtrsim 4\sqrt{k}$. As the phase difference is increased, the suppression in $\sqrt{k} < \Omega < 2\sqrt{k}$ is intensified. Note that the unperturbed proposed setup is symmetric ($k_L = k_R \neq k_C$). The phase shift φ causes the difference between $J_L^{(P)}$ and $J_R^{(P)}$, see Fig. 5.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Thermal current absorbed by the *left/right*-reservoir $-J^{(P)}_{L/R}$ (in units of $\hbar k/\varepsilon$), effective thermal current transmitted from *left*- to *right*-reservoir $\Delta J^{(P)} \equiv J^{(P)}_R - J^{(P)}_L$ (in units of $\hbar k/\varepsilon$) and power injected $\Phi^{(P)}$ (in units of $\hbar k/\varepsilon$) into the system as a function of the pumping frequency Ω (in units of $\sqrt{k}$) using $k_L = k_R = 0.5k$ and $k_C = 0.25k$ for equal temperatures with $k_B T_L = k_B T_R = 1$ (in units of $\hbar\sqrt{k}$) and for distinct pumping functions.

The effective heat flux between the two reservoirs $\Delta J^{(P)}(\Omega) \equiv J^{(P)}_R(\Omega) - J^{(P)}_L(\Omega)$ for the symmetric coupling case (*i.e.* $k_L = k_R \neq k_C$) reads

$$\Delta J^{(P)}(\Omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2a_n^2 \, \sin(n\varphi)\, \mathcal{B}^L(n\Omega), \qquad (79)$$

where $\Delta J^{(P)} > 0$ corresponds to the heat flux from *right*-reservoir to *left*-reservoir and $\Delta J^{(P)} < 0$ to reverse direction. Note that for $\varphi = 0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \pm 6\pi, \ldots$, we obtain $\Delta J^{(P)} = 0$, as expected. For the *single-mode* case we verify that the maximum value of $|\Delta J^{(P)}|$ occurs for $\varphi = \pm\pi/2, \pm 3\pi/2, \pm 5\pi/2, \ldots$. $\Delta J^{(P)}$ versus the pumping frequency Ω is represented in the Figs. 5(g)-(i).

The time-dependent drive breaks the system symmetry. Hence, one can engineer configurations of Ω and

$\phi_\alpha(t)$ that direct the pumped heat either to the left or to the right. Equation (79) shows that by replacing $\varphi \to -\varphi$ the directionality is reversed, namely, $\Delta J^{(P)}(\varphi) = -\Delta J^{(P)}(-\varphi)$.

For all considered pumping profiles and phase differences, we find $\Delta J^{(P)} = 0$ at $\Omega = \Omega_1 \approx 1.371\sqrt{k}$ and $\Omega = \Omega_2 \approx 2.029\sqrt{k}$, see Fig. 5. The largest negative peak of $\Delta J^{(P)}$ occurs between Ω_1 and Ω_2. Other two positive peaks occur on $\Omega < \Omega_1$ and $\Omega > \Omega_2$.

The external drives contribute to the energy transfer by exciting the original unperturbed propagating energy $\hbar\omega$ to $\hbar(\omega + n\Omega)$ where $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. In our model, the energy transfer between leads is only possible if the injected energy $\hbar\omega$ and the excited energy $\hbar(\omega + n\Omega)$ satisfy the conditions $|\omega| \leq \omega_c$ and $|\omega + n\Omega| \leq \omega_c$, respectively, where $\omega_c \equiv 2\sqrt{k}$. Outside this frequency window the leads linewidths vanish and no energy transfer is allowed. Thus, only modes with $n \leq 2\omega_c/\Omega$ will contribute to the energy transfer between reservoirs. As Ω increases a smaller number of modes contribute and the overall energy transport decreases, as we see in Fig. 5. For $\Omega > 2\omega_c = 4\sqrt{k}$ the external drives do not induce energy transport to the reservoirs as no positive integer can satisfy $n < 1$. For small Ω, many modes n with $n \leq 2\omega_c/\Omega$ compete and contribute to the energy transfer.

From Eqs. (67) and (68), we define the (cooling) efficiency κ of the heat pump that operates between two reservoirs *left* (L) and *right* (R) at equal temperatures, considering a full period as

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{Q_R^{(\tau)} - Q_L^{(\tau)}}{|Q_R^{(\tau)}| + |Q_L^{(\tau)}|} = \frac{J_R^{(P)} - J_L^{(P)}}{|J_R^{(P)}| + |J_L^{(P)}|} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \qquad (80)$$

$|\kappa|$ is the ratio between the net current and the total heat current driven by the external ac source per cycle. Figure 6 shows that κ can be positive or negative depending on Ω and $\phi_{L,R}(t)$. $\kappa = \pm 1$ correspond to situations where the heat currents have opposite signs, independent of their magnitudes.

For $\Omega \gtrsim 0.5\sqrt{k}$, the thermal energy is always absorbed by the reservoirs for all the studied pumping profiles (see Fig. 5). Thus, the denominator of Eq. (80), $|Q_R^{(\tau)}|+|Q_L^{(\tau)}|$, corresponds to realized work $W > 0$. In contrast, when $\Omega \lesssim 0.5\sqrt{k}$ for the single-mode and the triangle profiles, we find a plateau $\kappa = -1$, indicated in the Fig.6. In this cases, the external drive pumps heat from the left ($-Q_L^{(\tau)} < 0$) to the right reservoir ($-Q_R^{(\tau)} > 0$) and $\kappa = -1$ indicates all the thermal energy extracted from the left reservoir by the external drive is transferred to the right one. Unfortunatly, the corresponding heat current is rather small. Outside of this regime, we find that in order to optimize the pumped heat $\Delta J^{(P)}$ one should: (i) tune the pumping frequency to $\Omega \approx \sqrt{k}$ or $\Omega \approx 1.75\sqrt{k}$ producing positive ($\Delta J^{(P)} > 0$) or negative ($\Delta J^{(P)} < 0$), respectively, heat transfer (see Fig. 5) and (ii) tune the phase shift to $\pi/2$ (see Eq. (79)).



FIG. 6. (Color online) Efficiency κ of the system as a function of the pumping frequency Ω (in units of $\sqrt{k}$) using $k_L = k_R = 0.5k$ and $k_C = 0.25k$ for equal temperatures with $k_B T_L = k_B T_R = 1$ (in units of $\hbar\sqrt{k}$) and for distinct pumping functions.

The high frequency, $\Omega \gg \sqrt{k}$, asymptotic behavior of κ (see Fig. 6) is trivial due to the contribution of a smaller number of modes n as discussed before. We also note a surprisingly similar behavior of the efficiency curves for *single-mode* and *triangle* pumping profiles. This can be explained by inspecting Eq. (79) and recalling the rapid decrease of $a_n^2 \propto 1/n^4$ with n for the latter case. In other words, the pumped current, Eq. (77), for the *triangle* profile is dominated by the first mode $n = 1$, resulting in a

frequency dependence similar to the *single-mode* profile.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented a rigorous description of quantum thermal transport properties due to phonons in molecular and nanomechanical systems using the non-equilibrium Green's function theory. We approached the problem using a phase-space representation on the quantum correlations functions in the Keldysh contour, a convenient generalization of the standard Green's functions technique[18,19].

We have shown that in the stationary regime our approach recovers a Landauer-like transmission formula, as expected. Our derivation solves some inconsistencies of previous theoretical works based on NEGF[25–27]. For instance, the use of phase-space correlation functions avoids the necessity of taking the Fourier transform of $\vec{u}$ and canonically conjugate $\vec{p}$ operators, that is troublesome for the commutation relations $[\vec{u},\vec{p}]$. The partition we put forward in Sec. III, avoids conceptual difficulties with the adiabatic switch-on picture on picture on which the formalism is based. More importantly, starting with a symmetrized Hamiltonian, our formalism renders a purely real heat current J_α, avoiding the necessity of invoking the current conservation condition and imposing (for a two-terminal geometry) as $J=(J_L+J_L^*-J_R-J_R^*)/4$[25–27].

These results allow us to safely extend the formalism to study the heat transport in systems subjected to a time-dependent external drive which opens the possibility of addressing situations of interest for applications in phononics. In distinction to the electronic case where the Fermi velocity and the system size give a characteristic time scale for the dynamics, the absence of such time scale in bosonic systems lead us to develop a perturbation theory assuming that the external drive is weak.

We apply our results to a model of a diatomic molecule coupled to semi-infinite linear chains in equilibrium with thermal reservoirs. The simplicity of the model allows for an amenable computation and to understand its main physical features using simple analytical considerations. This gives us confidence on the method and project using it the future to treat more realistic systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Brazilian funding agencies CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FAPEAL. The authors thank the hospitality of the International Institute of Physics (IIP) in Natal (Brazil), where this work was concluded.

Appendix A: Surface Green's functions for semi-infinite lattices

In this appendix we review the calculation of the retarded and advanced Green's functions $\tilde{g}^{r,a}_\alpha[\omega]$ for a semi-infinite linear chain in thermal equilibrium with the α-reservoir. According to (47) and (48c), we write

$$\tilde{g}^{r,a}_\alpha[\omega]=\langle e_1|\begin{pmatrix}\omega_\pm^2-k_\alpha-k & k & \\ k & & \\ & & \mathcal{D}_n^\pm\end{pmatrix}^{-1}|e_1\rangle, \quad \text{(A1)}$$

where $|e_1\rangle=(1,0,\cdots,0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ represents the surface site and

$$\mathcal{D}_n^\pm=\begin{pmatrix}\omega_\pm^2-2k & k & & & \\ k & \omega_\pm^2-2k & k & & \\ & k & \omega_\pm^2-2k & k & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots\end{pmatrix}_{n\times n}, \quad \text{(A2)}$$

with $\omega_\pm\equiv\omega\pm\imath\,0^+$ and $n\to\infty$.

Applying the method of co-factors in (A1) we write

$$\tilde{g}^{r,a}_\alpha[\omega]=\left(k-k_\alpha-\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d_{n+1}^\pm}{d_n^\pm}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{(A3)}$$

where $d_n^\pm\equiv(-1)^n\det\mathcal{D}_n^\pm$. The Laplace's method gives the following recurrence equation

$$d_{n+1}^\pm+(\omega_\pm^2-2k)\,d_n^\pm+k^2\,d_{n-1}^\pm=0. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

The discriminant $\Delta=\omega_\pm^2\left(\omega_\pm^2-4k\right)$ of the associated characteristic equation has non trivial roots $|\omega|=\sqrt{4k}$. Hence, we split the solution of the recurrence equation in two frequency domains: (i) $|\omega|\leqslant\sqrt{4k}$ and (ii) $|\omega|>\sqrt{4k}$.

(i) For $|\omega|\leqslant\sqrt{4k}$ we introduce the parametrization $\omega_\pm\equiv\omega\pm\imath\,0^+=\sqrt{4k}\sin(\theta_\pm/2)$ with $\theta_\pm=\theta\pm\imath\eta$ and $\eta=0^+$ for $\theta\in[-\pi,\pi]$. Substituting the latter in (A4), we find

$$d_n^\pm=k^n\,\frac{\sin[(n+1)\,\theta_\pm]}{\sin\theta_\pm}. \quad \text{(A5)}$$

Since $\tan[m(\theta\pm\imath\,\eta)]\sim\pm\imath$ for $m\gg1$ and $\eta>0$,

$$\lim_{\eta=0^+}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d_{n+1}^\pm}{d_n^\pm}=k\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\imath\,\theta}. \quad \text{(A6)}$$

that, with the help of the identities $2k\cos\theta=2k-\omega^2$ and $2k\sin\theta=\omega\sqrt{4k-\omega^2}$, leads to

$$\tilde{g}^{r,a}_\alpha[\omega]=\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\omega^2-2k_\alpha\mp\imath\,\omega\sqrt{4k-\omega^2}}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2+k_\alpha^2}. \quad \text{(A7)}$$

Note that (A7) satisfies the property $\tilde{g}^r_\alpha[-\omega]=\tilde{g}^a_\alpha[\omega]$ in line with (20a).

(*ii*) For $|\omega| > \sqrt{4k}$, we parametrize $\omega_\pm \equiv \omega \pm \imath\, 0^+ = \sqrt{4k}\,\cosh(\theta_\pm/2)\,\mathrm{sgn}(\theta)$ with $\theta_\pm = \theta \pm \imath\eta$ and $\eta = 0^+$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^*$, where $\mathrm{sgn}(\theta)$ is the sign function of θ. Substituting this parametrization in (A4) we find

$$d_n^\pm = (-k)^n\,\frac{\sinh[(n+1)\,\theta_\pm]}{\sinh\theta_\pm}. \tag{A8}$$

Since $\coth[m(\theta \pm \imath\,\eta)] \sim \mathrm{sgn}(\theta)$ for $m \gg 1$ and $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\eta=0^+}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d_{n+1}^\pm}{d_n^\pm} = -k\,\mathrm{e}^{|\theta|}. \tag{A9}$$

Using $2k\cosh\theta = \omega^2 - 2k$ and $2k\,\mathrm{sgn}(\theta)\sin\theta = \sqrt{\omega^2(\omega^2-4k)}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{g}_\alpha^{r,a}[\omega] = \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\omega^2 - 2k_\alpha - \sqrt{\omega^2\,(\omega^2-4k)}}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2 + k_\alpha^2}. \tag{A10}$$

Note that $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{r,a}[\omega] \sim 1/\omega^2 \to 0$ for $|\omega| \gg \sqrt{4k}$, which guarantees convergence in the integrations.

We can write $\tilde{g}_\alpha^{r,a}[\omega]$ in a convenient form as

$$\tilde{g}_\alpha^{r,a}[\omega] = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\mu}_\alpha[\omega] \mp \imath\,\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha[\omega]\right), \tag{A11}$$

where the real auxiliary functions $\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha[\omega]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha[\omega]$ are

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha[\omega] = \frac{\omega\sqrt{4k-\omega^2}}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2 + k_\alpha^2}\,\Theta(4k-\omega^2), \tag{A12a}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\alpha[\omega] = \frac{\omega^2 - 2k_\alpha - \sqrt{\omega^2(\omega^2-4k)}\,\Theta(\omega^2-4k)}{(k-k_\alpha)\,\omega^2 + k_\alpha^2}. \tag{A12b}$$

The α-contact line width function, $\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha[\omega]$, Eq. (51a), becomes

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha[\omega] = V_{C\alpha}\cdot\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}. \tag{A13}$$

Appendix B: Perturbative weak pumping regime

In this Appendix, we derive the perturbation expansion for the energy $E_M(t)$ of the extended molecule and analyze the periodic behavior for pumped-induced heat transport. Next, we obtain the perturbation expansion in ε for thermal current $J_\alpha(t)$ and the power $\Phi(t)$.

1. Energy $E_M(t)$

We expand the Dyson equation, Eq. (57), in a power series in $\check{\mathcal{V}}(t)$, to obtain (after a lengthy but straightforward calculation) the energy $E_M(t)$ as

$$E_M^{(0)} = \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\left(G^<[\omega]\cdot\underline{K}\right)_{CC} + \underline{K}_{CC}\cdot G^<_{CC}[\omega] + \sum_\alpha\left(V_{C\alpha}\cdot G^<_{\alpha C}[\omega] + G^<_{C\alpha}[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}\right)\Big\}, \tag{B1a}$$

$$E_M^{(1)}(t) = \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\sum_\alpha\phi_\alpha(t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{V_{CC}^{(\alpha)}\cdot G^<_{CC}[\omega] + V_{C\alpha}\cdot G^<_{\alpha C}[\omega] + G^<_{C\alpha}[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C}\Big\} + \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\sum_\beta\iint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}$$
$$\times\ \phi_\beta[\omega-\omega']\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\left(I_C\,\omega\omega' + \underline{K}_{CC}\right)\cdot\Xi^<_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] + \sum_\alpha\Big(V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] + \Xi^<_{C\alpha,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\cdot V_{\alpha C}\Big)\Big\}, \tag{B1b}$$

$$E_M^{(2)}(t) = \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_\alpha(t)\iint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^2}\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega']\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{V_{C\alpha}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] + \Xi^<_{C\alpha,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\cdot V_{\alpha C}$$
$$+\ V_{CC}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\Xi^<_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\Big\} \ +\ \frac{\imath\hbar}{2}\sum_{\beta,\gamma}\iiint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\nu]\,\phi_\gamma[\nu-\omega']$$
$$\times\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\left(\omega\,\omega' I_C + \underline{K}_{CC}\right)\cdot\Xi^<_{CC,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\nu,\omega'] \ +\ \sum_\alpha\Big(V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega'] + \Xi^<_{C\alpha,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega']\cdot V_{\alpha C}\Big)\Big\}, \tag{B1c}$$

where $\underline{\hat{K}} \equiv \hat{K}^0 + \hat{V}$ and $\left(\hat{G}[\omega]\cdot\underline{\hat{K}}\right)_{CC} = \sum_\alpha\left(G^<_{C\alpha}[\omega]\cdot V_{\alpha C} + G^<_{CC}[\omega]\cdot V_{CC}^{(\alpha)}\right)$.

Here, $\phi_\alpha[\omega]$ is the Fourier's transform of the pumping function, Eqs. (61) and (63), given by

$$\phi_\alpha[\omega] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{e}^{\imath\omega t}\,\phi_\alpha(t)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}a_n^{(\alpha)}2\pi\,\delta(\omega+\sigma\Omega_n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}}. \tag{B2}$$

$\Xi^<_{ab,\beta}[\omega,\omega']$ and $\Xi^<_{ab,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\nu,\omega']$ are *lesser* components of

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_{ab,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] &= G_{a\beta}[\omega]\cdot V_{\beta\beta}\cdot G_{\beta b}[\omega'] \\ &+ G_{aC}[\omega]\cdot V^{(\beta)}_{CC}\cdot G_{Cb}[\omega'] + G_{a\beta}[\omega]\cdot V_{\beta C}\cdot G_{Cb}[\omega'] \\ &+ G_{aC}[\omega]\cdot V_{C\beta}\cdot G_{\beta b}[\omega'],\end{aligned} \tag{B3a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_{ab,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\nu,\omega'] &= G_{a\beta}[\omega]\cdot V_{\beta\beta}\cdot \Xi_{\beta b,\gamma}[\nu,\omega'] \\ &+ G_{aC}[\omega]\cdot V^{(\beta)}_{CC}\cdot \Xi_{Cb,\gamma}[\nu,\omega'] + G_{a\beta}[\omega]\cdot V_{\beta C}\cdot \Xi_{Cb,\gamma}[\nu,\omega'] \\ &+ G_{aC}[\omega]\cdot V_{C\beta}\cdot \Xi_{\beta b,\gamma}[\nu,\omega'],\end{aligned} \tag{B3b}$$

respectively, with latin letters corresponding to reservoirs or C and greek letters corresponding to reservoirs only.

Note that $\Lambda^<_1$ and $\Lambda^<_2$ of Eq. (60) are related to $\Xi^<_{ab,\beta}$ and $\Xi^<_{ab,\beta\gamma}$ by

$$\big(\Lambda^<_1[\omega,\omega']\big)_{ab} = \sum_\beta \Xi^<_{ab,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\;\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega'], \tag{B4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Lambda^<_2[\omega,\omega']\big)_{ab} = \sum_{\beta\gamma}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{2\pi}\,\Xi^<_{ab,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\nu,\omega'] \\ \times\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\nu]\,\phi_\gamma[\nu-\omega'].\end{aligned} \tag{B4b}$$

Note that $E^{(0)}_M$ is constant. Substituting (B2) in Eqs. (B1b) and (B1c), we can see that $E^{(n)}_M(t) = E^{(n)}_M(t+\tau)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

2. Current $J_\alpha(t)$ and power developed by the ac sources $\Phi(t)$

Substituting the results of Eqs. (57)-(62) into (40)-(41), we obtain the current $J_\alpha(t)$ from α-lead and the power developed by the ac sources $\Phi(t)$ in the form of a perturbative series in ε as

$$J_\alpha(t) = J^{(S)}_\alpha + \varepsilon\, J^{(1)}_\alpha(t) + \varepsilon^2\, J^{(2)}_\alpha(t) + \cdots \tag{B5a}$$

$$\Phi(t) = \varepsilon\,\Phi^{(1)}(t) + \varepsilon^2\,\Phi^{(2)}(t) + \cdots \tag{B5b}$$

where $J^{(n)}_\alpha(t)$ and $\Phi^{(n)}(t)$ are n-order contribution of the series of $J_\alpha(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$, respectively. Below we give the explicit expressions for the first and second-order contributions.

a. *First-order contribution*

The coefficients $J^{(1)}_\alpha(t)$ and $\Phi^{(1)}(t)$ read

$$\begin{aligned}J^{(1)}_\alpha(t) = \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\phi_\alpha(t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\hbar\omega\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{V_{C\alpha}\cdot G^<_{\alpha C}[\omega]\right\} \\ + \sum_\beta\iint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath\,(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega'] \\ \times\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\hbar\omega\,V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right\}\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{B6a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(1)}(t) = \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\sum_\alpha \imath\hbar\,\dot\phi_\alpha(t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\,V^{(\alpha)}_{CC}\cdot G^<_{CC}[\omega] \\ + V_{C\alpha}\cdot G^<_{\alpha C}[\omega]\Big\}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{B6b}$$

Using Eqs. (61) and (B2), we find

$$(i)\qquad \big\langle\phi_\alpha(t)\big\rangle_\tau = 0, \tag{B7a}$$

$$(ii)\qquad \big\langle\dot\phi_\alpha(t)\big\rangle_\tau = 0, \tag{B7b}$$

$$(iii)\qquad \big\langle\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega']\big\rangle_\tau = 0, \tag{B7c}$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle_\tau = \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t\,(\cdots)$. Thus,

$$\big\langle J^{(1)}_\alpha(t)\big\rangle_\tau = 0 = \big\langle\Phi^{(1)}(t)\big\rangle_\tau. \tag{B8}$$

b. *Second-order contribution*

The coefficients $J^{(2)}_\alpha(t)$ and $\Phi^{(2)}(t)$ read

$$\begin{aligned}J^{(2)}_\alpha(t) = \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\sum_{\beta\gamma}\iiint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^3}\,\hbar\omega\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t} \\ \times\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\nu]\,\phi_\gamma[\nu-\omega']\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\nu,\omega']\right\} \\ + \sum_\beta\iint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^2}\,\hbar\omega\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\alpha(t)\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega'] \\ \times\,\mathrm{Tr}\left\{V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right\}\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{B9a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{(2)}(t) = \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\iint\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}\omega'}{(2\pi)^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\imath\hbar\,\dot\phi_\alpha(t) \\ \times\,\phi_\beta[\omega-\omega']\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\,V^{(\alpha)}_{CC}\cdot\Xi^<_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] \\ + V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^<_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega']\Big\}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{B9b}$$

Using the Eqs. (63) and (B2), we obtain

$$(i)\quad \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\right\rangle_\tau \phi_\beta[\omega-\nu]\,\phi_\gamma[\nu-\omega'] = \\ = 2\pi\,\delta(\omega-\omega')\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\beta)}a_n^{(\gamma)} \\ \times\sum_{\sigma=\pm1} 2\pi\,\delta(\omega'-\nu+\sigma\,\Omega_n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)}, \tag{B10a}$$

$$(ii)\quad \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\phi_\alpha(t)\right\rangle_\tau \phi_\beta[\omega-\omega'] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)}\,a_n^{(\beta)} \\ \times\sum_{\sigma=\pm1} 2\pi\,\delta(\omega-\omega'+\sigma\,\Omega_n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}\right)}, \tag{B10b}$$

$$(iii)\quad \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\imath(\omega-\omega')t}\,\dot{\phi}_\alpha(t)\right\rangle_\tau \phi_\beta[\omega-\omega'] = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\alpha)}\,a_n^{(\beta)} \\ \times\sum_{\sigma=\pm1} 2\pi\Omega_n\,\imath\sigma\,\delta(\omega-\omega'+\sigma\Omega_n)\,\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}\right)}. \tag{B10c}$$

Hence,

$$\left\langle J_\alpha^{(2)}(t)\right\rangle_\tau = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\beta,\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)}\,a_n^{(\gamma)} \\ \times\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)}\,\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right] \tag{B11a}$$

and

$$\left\langle \Phi^{(2)}(t)\right\rangle_\tau = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\beta,\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)}\,a_n^{(\gamma)} \\ \times\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\imath\sigma\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)}\,\mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right], \tag{B11b}$$

where we introduced the following integrals

$$\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma\Omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\hbar\omega\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega+\sigma\Omega_n,\omega] \\ +\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\,V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega+\sigma\Omega_n]\Big\}, \tag{B12a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma\Omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi}\,\hbar\sigma\Omega_n\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\,V_{CC}^{(\gamma)}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega+\sigma\Omega_n] \\ +\,V_{C\gamma}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{\gamma C,\beta}[\omega,\omega+\sigma\Omega_n]\Big\}. \tag{B12b}$$

Defining $J_\alpha^{(P)}\equiv\left\langle J_\alpha^{(2)}(t)\right\rangle_\tau$ and $\Phi^{(P)}\equiv\left\langle\Phi^{(2)}(t)\right\rangle_T$, we get

$$J_\alpha^{(P)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\beta\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)}a_n^{(\gamma)}\Big[\cos\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\,A_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n) \\ -\sin\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\,B_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n)\Big], \tag{B13a}$$

$$\Phi^{(P)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\beta\gamma} a_n^{(\beta)}a_n^{(\gamma)}\Big[\cos\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\,D_{\beta\gamma}(n) \\ -\sin\left(\varphi_n^{(\beta)}-\varphi_n^{(\gamma)}\right)\,E_{\beta\gamma}(n)\Big], \tag{B13b}$$

where

$$A_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n) = \mathrm{Re}\left[\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right], \tag{B14a}$$

$$B_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(n) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\sigma\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right], \tag{B14b}$$

$$D_{\beta\gamma}(n) = \mathrm{Re}\left[\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right], \tag{B14c}$$

$$E_{\beta\gamma}(n) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\sum_{\sigma=\pm1}\sigma\mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma\Omega_n)\right]. \tag{B14d}$$

Equations (B13) and (B14) and the energy conservation $\sum_\alpha J_\alpha^{(P)}+\Phi^{(P)}=0$ (according Eqs. (66)-(68)), lead to the following conditions

$$\sum_\alpha A_{(\beta\gamma)}^{\alpha}(n) + D_{(\beta\gamma)}(n) = 0, \tag{B15a}$$

$$\sum_\alpha B_{[\beta\gamma]}^{\alpha}(n) + E_{[\beta\gamma]}(n) = 0, \tag{B15b}$$

where we introduced symmetrization $O_{(\beta\gamma)}\equiv\frac{1}{2}\left(O_{\beta\gamma}+O_{\gamma\beta}\right)$ and anti-symmetrization $O_{[\beta\gamma]}\equiv\frac{1}{2}\left(O_{\beta\gamma}-O_{\gamma\beta}\right)$ shorthand notations.

For the calculation of $\mathcal{J}_{\beta\gamma}^{(\alpha)}(\sigma\Omega_n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma\Omega_n)$, we use $V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega']$, $\Xi_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega']$ and $V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega',\omega]$ of (45) and (B3), as

$$V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\Pi_\alpha^{(1)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega'] \\ +\tilde{\Sigma}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\Pi_\beta^{(2)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega'], \tag{B16a}$$

$$\Xi_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] = G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\Pi_\beta^{(2)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega'], \tag{B16b}$$

$$V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega',\omega] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\,\Pi_\alpha^{(3)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega] \\ +\delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\Pi_\alpha^{(1)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\Pi_\gamma^{(2)}[\omega',\omega]\cdot G_{CC}[\omega] \\ +\delta_{\beta\gamma}\,\tilde{\Sigma}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\Pi_\beta^{(4)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega] \\ +\tilde{\Sigma}_\alpha[\omega]\cdot G_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\Pi_\beta^{(2)}[\omega,\omega']\cdot G_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\Pi_\gamma^{(2)}[\omega',\omega] \\ \cdot G_{CC}[\omega], \tag{B16c}$$

where we define

$$\Pi^{(1)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \equiv \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega], \tag{B17a}$$
$$\Pi^{(2)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \equiv \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega'] + V^{(\theta)}_{CC}, \tag{B17b}$$
$$\Pi^{(3)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \equiv \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega',\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'], \tag{B17c}$$
$$\Pi^{(4)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \equiv \Pi^{(3)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \Pi^{(1)}_{\theta}[\omega',\omega] \tag{B17d}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}[\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n] \equiv V_{C\theta}\cdot\tilde{g}_{\theta}[\omega_1]\cdot V_{\theta\theta}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tilde{g}_{\theta}[\omega_n]\cdot V_{\theta C}. \tag{B18}$$

Hence, we obtain the *lesser* components of (B16) as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{\alpha C,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] = {}& \delta_{\alpha\beta}\left[\left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\right] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega'] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega'], \end{aligned} \tag{B19a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^{<}_{CC,\beta}[\omega,\omega'] = {}& G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega'] + G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega'] \\ &+ G^{<}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega'], \end{aligned} \tag{B19b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{C\alpha}\cdot\Xi^{<}_{\alpha C,\beta\gamma}[\omega,\omega',\omega] = {}& \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,\delta_{\alpha\gamma}\left[\left(\Pi^{(3)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \left(\Pi^{(3)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\right] \\ &+ \delta_{\alpha\beta}\Big[\left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] \\ &+ \left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] + \left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\alpha}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\Big] \\ &+ \delta_{\beta\gamma}\Big[\tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(4)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(4)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(4)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(4)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\Big] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left[\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\right] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{r}_{CC}[\omega]\cdot\left[\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{r}\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega'] + \left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega']\right]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega] \\ &+ \left[\tilde{\Sigma}^{r}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{<}_{CC}[\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\alpha}[\omega]\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega]\right]\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\beta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega']\cdot\left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\gamma}[\omega',\omega]\right)^{a}\cdot G^{a}_{CC}[\omega], \end{aligned} \tag{B19c}$$

where we define the *lesser* component of the set (B17) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<} \equiv {}& \tilde{\Sigma}^{r,<}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<,a}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\theta}[\omega], \end{aligned} \tag{B20a}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<} \equiv {}& \tilde{\Sigma}^{r,<}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<,a}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\theta}[\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<}_{\theta}[\omega'], \end{aligned} \tag{B20b}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi^{(3)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{<} \equiv {}& \tilde{\Sigma}^{r,r,<}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega',\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{r,<,a}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega',\omega] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{<,a,a}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega',\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{r,<}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{<,a}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'], \end{aligned} \tag{B20c}$$

and the *retarded*-(r) and *advanced*-(a) component of (B17) as

$$\left(\Pi^{(1)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{x} \equiv \tilde{\Sigma}^{x,x}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{x}_{\theta}[\omega], \tag{B21a}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi^{(2)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{x} \equiv {}& \tilde{\Sigma}^{x,x}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{x}_{\theta}[\omega] \\ &+ \tilde{\Sigma}^{x}_{\theta}[\omega'] + V^{(\theta)}_{CC}, \end{aligned} \tag{B21b}$$
$$\left(\Pi^{(3)}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega']\right)^{x} \equiv \tilde{\Sigma}^{x,x,x}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega',\omega] + \tilde{\Sigma}^{x,x}_{\theta}[\omega,\omega'], \tag{B21c}$$

with $x = r, a$ and where we denote the components of generalized function as

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\Sigma}^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}_{\alpha}[\omega_1,\ldots\omega_n] \equiv \\ &\qquad V_{C\alpha}\cdot\tilde{g}^{x_1}_{\alpha}[\omega_1]\cdot V_{\alpha\alpha}\cdot\ldots\cdot\tilde{g}^{x_n}_{\alpha}[\omega_n]\cdot V_{\alpha C}. \end{aligned} \tag{B22}$$

[1] Y. Dubi and M. Di Ventra, "*Colloquium* : Heat flow and thermoelectricity in atomic and molecular junctions," Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 131–155 (2011).

[2] N. Li, J. Ren, L. Wang, G. Zhang, P. Hänggi, and B. Li, "*Colloquium*: Phononics: Manipulating heat flow with electronic analogs and beyond," Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1045 (2012).

[3] A. Dhar, "Heat transport in low-dimensional systems," Adv. Phys. **57**, 457 (2008).

[4] Baowen Li, Lei Wang, and Giulio Casati, "Thermal diode: Rectification of heat flux," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 184301 (2004).

[5] Baowen Li, Lei Wang, and Giulio Casati, "Negative differential thermal resistance and thermal transistor," App. Phys. Lett. **88**, 143501 (2006).

[6] Karl Joulain, Jérémie Drevillon, Younès Ezzahri, and Jose Ordonez-Miranda, "Quantum thermal transistor," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 200601 (2016).

[7] L. Wang and B. Li, "Thermal logic gates: Computation with phonons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 177208 (2007).

[8] C. W. Chang, D. Okawa, A. Majumdar, and A. Zettl, "Solid-state thermal rectifier," Science **314**, 1121–1124 (2006).

[9] Supradeep Narayana and Yuki Sato, "Heat flux manipulation with engineered thermal materials," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 214303 (2012).

[10] Maria José Martínez-Pérez, Antonio Fornieri, and Francesco Giazotto, "Rectification of electronic heat current by a hybrid thermal diode," Nat. Nano. **10**, 303–307 (2015).

[11] M. Madovan, "Sound and heat revolutions in phononics," Nature **503**, 209 (2013).

[12] M. Galperin, K. Saito, A. V. Balatsky, and A. Nitzan, "Cooling mechanisms in molecular conduction junctions," Phys. Rev. B **80**, 115427 (2009).

[13] F. Santandrea, L. Y. Gorelik, R. I. Shekhter, and M. Jonson, "Cooling of nanomechanical resonators by thermally activated single-electron transport," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 186803 (2011).

[14] J. Ren and B. Li, "Emergence and control of heat current from strict zero thermal bias," Phys. Rev. E **81**, 021111 (2010).

[15] L. Arrachea and B. Rizzo, "Nonequilibrium greens functions in the study of heat transport of driven nanomechanical systems," Journal of Physics: Conference Series **427**, 012012 (2013).

[16] Q. Li, I. Duchemin, S. Xiong, G. C. Solomon, and D. Donadio, "Mechanical tuning of thermal transport in a molecular junction," J. Phys. Chem. C **119**, 24636–24642 (2015).

[17] N. Beraha, A. Soba, and M.F. Carusela, "Frequency thermal response and cooling performance in a microscopic system with a time-dependent perturbation," Physica A **464**, 64 (2016).

[18] J. Rammer and H. Smith, "Quantum field-theoretical methods in transport theory of metals," Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 323 (1986).

[19] A. Kamenev, *Field-Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).

[20] C. Caroli, R. Combescot, P. Nozieres, and D. Saint-James, "Direct calculation of the tunneling current," J. Phys. C: Solid State Physics **4**, 916 (1971).

[21] Y. Meir and N. S. Wingreen, "Landauer formula for the current through an interacting electron region," Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2512 (1992).

[22] A. Ozpineci and S. Ciraci, "Quantum effects of thermal conductance through atomic chains," Phys. Rev. B **63**, 125415 (2001).

[23] D. Segal, A. Nitzan, and P. Hänggi, "Thermal conductance through molecular wires," J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 6840–6855 (2003).

[24] T. Yamamoto and K. Watanabe, "Nonequilibrium Green's function approach to phonon transport in defective carbon nanotubes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 255503 (2006).

[25] J.-S. Wang, N. Zeng, J. Wang, and C. K. Gan, "Nonequilibrium Green's function method for thermal transport in junctions," Phys. Rev. E **75**, 061128 (2007).

[26] J.-S. Wang, J. Wang, and J. T. Lü, "Quantum thermal transport in nanostructures," EPJ B **62**, 381 (2008).

[27] J.-S. Wang, B. K. Agarwalla, H. Li, and J. Thingna, "Nonequilibrium Green's function method for quantum thermal transport," Front. Phys. **9**, 673–697 (2014).

[28] J. B. Pendry, "Quantum limits to the flow of information and entropy," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16**, 2161 (1983).

[29] L. G. C. Rego and G. Kirczenow, "Quantized thermal conductance of dielectric quantum wires," Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 232 (1998).

[30] D.E. Angelescu, M.C. Cross, and M.L. Roukes, "Heat transport in mesoscopic systems," Superlattices and Microstructures **23**, 673 – 689 (1998).

[31] M. P. Blencowe, "Quantum energy flow in mesoscopic dielectric structures," Phys. Rev. B **59**, 4992–4998 (1999).

[32] Béla Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Vol. 184 (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2013).

[33] H. Haug and A. J. Jauho, *Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors*, Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 123 (Springer, Berlin, 2008).

[34] M. Switkes, C. M. Marcus, K. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, "An adiabatic quantum electron pump," Science **283**, 1905–1908 (1999).

[35] M. Büttiker, H. Thomas, and A. Prêtre, "Current partition in multiprobe conductors in the presence of slowly oscillating external potentials," Z. Phys. B **94**, 133–137 (1994).

[36] P. W. Brouwer, "Scattering approach to parametric pumping," Phys. Rev. B **58**, R10135–R10138 (1998).

[37] M. G. Vavilov, V. Ambegaokar, and I. L. Aleiner, "Charge pumping and photovoltaic effect in open quantum dots," Phys. Rev. B **63**, 195313 (2001).

[38] E. R. Mucciolo and C. H. Lewenkopf, "Spin pumping with quantum dots," Int. J. Nanotechnol. **4**, 482 (2007).

[39] K. Schwab, E. A. Henriksen, J. M. Worlock, and M. L. Roukes, "Measurement of the quantum of thermal conductance," Nature **404**, 974 (2000).